

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

DEAN C. GRIFFITH,	)	CASE NO. 1:16-cv-2896
	)	
PLAINTIFF,	)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
	)	
vs.	)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
	)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	)	
SECURITY,	)	
	)	
DEFENDANT.	)	

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Thomas Parker, recommending that the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”), denying the application of plaintiff Dean Griffith (“plaintiff”) for Supplemental Security Income and Disability Insurance Benefits, be affirmed because substantial evidence supports the decision and plaintiff has failed to identify an error of law in the evaluation of his claim. (Doc. No. 13 (Report and Recommendation [“R&R”])).)

Under the relevant statute:

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

The failure to file written objections to the report and recommendation of a magistrate judge constitutes a waiver of a de novo determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). Plaintiff is represented by counsel. The time period for filing objections has passed and no objection to the R&R has been filed.

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Parker's report and recommendation and accepts and adopts the same. Accordingly, the final decision of the Commissioner denying plaintiff's application for Supplemental Security Income, and Disability Insurance Benefits, is affirmed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: December 20, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**HONORABLE SARA LIOI**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**